

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 16, 1999 LB 141, 194

intended to be. It is designed to be a listing of all property in the county. Now right now you could create something that looked like the assessment roll that's defined in the statute if you went to various places and combined various lists of property, so it is something that you could do now, but it just doesn't combine it all into one place. There are changes in penalty statutes. One of them makes clear that the penalties are distributed in the same fashion as the tax. There has...there is a proposal to change the penalties for failure or late filing of a personal property tax return. That has become a bit of a difficult issue. Two years ago, at the request of county assessors, the Revenue Committee recommended to you, and you adopted, a fairly significant penalty for failure to file. We're modifying that penalty structure so that you have a different kind of penalty if the taxpayer suddenly remembers and files late, or there is a stiffer penalty if the county assessor has to go out and find them and come in and pay. And, if you recall, we've done similar structures in other areas so that it is encouraging, in fact, the taxpayers to self-report rather than being discovered by the Property Tax Administrator and giving them a break if they self...or the county assessor, giving them a break if they do self-report. There is a provision that moves the date at which the county assessor sets values from March 1st to April 20th. That is to give the equalization process a little bit more time to work. There are some provisions in the bill that concern motor vehicle taxes. One of them is a bit redundant because it's also in section...in LB 141, but the effort there is to correct a number of pieces that have to do with the valuation of motor vehicles and the exemption process for motor vehicles and to make clear how those processes are going to work. One set of provisions in the bill that has some significance, although it is largely a recodification of the existing law, are the provisions that concern the Property Tax Administrator's Office relationship to county assessors, and the processes that the Property Tax Administrator's Office can use to discipline or guide the county assessors. I wouldn't say that there are significant changes in that area, but there is a recodification of those provisions into a unified structure so that you can follow it through. There is one area that relates to those issues and that is in the bill a request by the Property Tax Administrator's Office to exempt manuals and directives from the requirement of a public